



Christian Identity Ministries

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For he hath visited and redeemed *his* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies, and from the hand of all that hate *us*; To perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers, and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives."

Luke 1:68-75, (KJV)

Newsletter

#122

February AD1996

THEOCRACY

By Richard Kelly Hoskins

Definition Of Theocracy

Theocracy - a state ruled by god.

Noah Webster's 1st Edition, 1828 defines theocracy in the following manner:

"THEOCRACY: Government of a state by the immediate direction of God; or state thus governed. Of this species the Israelites furnish an illustrious example. The theocracy lasted until the time of Saul."

The Oxford American Dictionary, 1980 defines theocracy:

"THEOCRACY: 1. A form of government by God or a god directly, or through a priestly order. 2. a state having this form of government."

All States are Theocracies

All rulers claim that they follow the dictates of a power or an intelligence greater than their own, and, that they and the state are merely agents of this greater power. If this defines a god - even communist states bow to the all powerful intellectual god of Marxism/Leninism.

Three Western Theocracies

Traditionally, Western governments have claimed that their power to rule is granted by the Christian God. This power - this will of God - is transmitted to those being ruled in three distinctly different manners by three distinctly different groups - each claiming this right from God. These are:

1. theocracy by priests
2. theocracy by the individual
3. theocracy by the state.

1. Theocracy By Priests

- The little known independent episcopal ¹ Hindu priesthood of India is the most powerful example of a self-perpetuating priestly theocracy.
- The Roman Catholic Church in the West is the best known example of a self-perpetuating priestly theocracy.
- The next best-known example of a self-perpetuating priestly theocracy is the Anglican Church of England, an offshoot of the Catholic Church.

Priest and state combine in an episcopacy that rules from the top down, selecting its own relatively ideologically sound replacements. Like the others, Anglican priests may be individually poor, but the wealth of the Anglican Church makes it a major factor in international trade and finance.

There is a tacit understanding between the three major groups.²

(The best-known example of American Christianity is the Judeo-Christianity of the televangelists. It is a missionary outreach of another and as such has no real power except from its propaganda.)

2. Theocracy By The Individual

The Roman Catholic Church ruled Europe for a millennium and a half. It was corrupt and tyrannical. Certain Roman

Catholic priests started a reform movement called the "Reformation." The leaders of the Reformation placed their new movement under the protection of local rulers who were looking for an excuse not to forward their tax and tithe collections to Rome.

Unlike the Roman Catholic Church where only priests were allowed to read Holy Scripture, the Reformation encouraged all to study God's WORD, claiming the priesthood of the individual: "Jesus Christ ... hath made us kings and priests." Rev 1:5-6. These new priests read secrets long concealed and one of the first things they learned was the following:

"And the word of the Lord (Yahweh) came unto me, saying, Son of man, say ... There is a conspiracy of her prophets in the midst thereof, ... they have devoured souls; they have taken the treasure and precious things; ... Her priests have violated my law, ... they have put no difference between the holy and the profane, neither have they showed difference between the unclean and the clean ... and I am profaned among them. Her princes ... are like wolves ravaging the prey, to shed blood, and to destroy souls, to get dishonest gain." Ezek 22:23-27.

This scripture was first used against the priests of the Catholic Church, and next against the kings employed by them. But, as knowledge of the Scriptures spread it was taken to mean a close watch should be kept on ANY professional priesthood and ANY state that attempted to subvert God's WORD - Protestant or Catholic.

The former catholic Priests who were the leaders of the Reformation merely attempted to reform the Catholic Church - to reform the existing organized religion. Growing knowledge of the Scriptures drastically expanded this vision as the people learned the requirements of the WORD and cast about for a way to put God's Commands into action. Banding together, they went far beyond what the reformers intended.

The Revolution

The Reformation turned into a revolution as the realization dawned that each Christian had been appointed king and priest and was responsible individually to God. These new-found priests determined to do all that Scripture required. They took and divided the land that had been taken from the people by both the Church and the kings.³ They banned taxes since taxes were forbidden ⁴ and they quickly disobeyed kings who disobeyed God's Commands,⁵ and when the kings failed to punish transgressors of the Law - the people did it ⁶ themselves in order to avoid God's punishment that falls on all who fail to obey the Law.⁷

Reaction of Establishment Kings & Priests

The Kings of northern Europe, wishing to keep for themselves the lands and taxing powers they held from the Catholic Church, had an economic incentive to support the

original Reformation. When the people went beyond and began seizing the lands claimed by them and dividing them and refusing to pay taxes - the kings became the enemies of the people.⁸ To regain these lands and rents these Protestant kings turned on their former Protestant subjects and slaughtered them by the tens of thousands to prevent their setting up a theocracy of the people. The revolution died in a river of blood. Except for a flicker that has continued to this day - the flame of the Reformation died.⁹

The Catholic Church looked on. As soon as it had beaten back the Turk invasion of Austria, it called for a fresh crusade to reclaim its lost lands and revenues now in the hands of Protestant kings. Its Catholic kings obediently marched against the northern Protestant Kings launching the Thirty Years War that left parts of Germany with only 10% of its former population. Millions had died.

3. Theocracy By The State¹⁰

Both Protestant and Catholic kings learned a great lesson from the Reformation. The first lesson was; "keep religion on a tight leash." This means both the presbytery Protestant revolutionaries trying to create God's theocracy here on earth, and the Catholic Church trying to regain its lands and taxes. Both were a danger. The Protestant revolutionaries had taken the kings' land and taxes - and the Catholic Church had caused a war between the Catholic and Protestant kings that almost killed every second person in Europe, making it almost worthless for business.

With this threat hanging over the heads of both Protestant and Catholic kings, the kings developed a simple method to control religion and use it to bless their own operation. They turned organized religion into a state religion - a state theocracy. The Lutheran religion became a state religion in north Europe, and the Catholic religion and its offshoots became a state religion in south Europe and elsewhere.

The local king simply appointed the head of the local religion. Those appointed were politically reliable. The result was that the state supported the church and the church supported the state. In this manner this state theocracy blessed everything the state did and the state gave religion economic advantages such as tax exemption. The state theocracy was set up to bless that which God cursed - and it did. State theocracies quickly multiplied.

- The King of England, holding his realm en fief from the Pope, announced that from now on he, not the Pope, was God's chosen representative on earth and from then on he/she was going to appoint the leaders of his own personal Anglican Church - and he did. His appointees were very loyal.
- The kings and governments of the German and Scandinavian states appointed leaders of their own Lutheran Churches. They, too, were very loyal to the one who appointed them.
- In spite of vehement denials from the vatican, the kings of Catholic nations, with few exceptions, managed to have veto privilege in the selection of Catholic bishops appointed to head their own national Catholic Churches. These bishops were expected to side with the king against the Vatican itself, and they usually did.

As a consequence of this loss of political power to its hired kings, the vatican's main effort has been "mission work" with its Jesuit schools manufacturing "fanatics" who quickly become the usual nominal Catholics upon graduation. The visible effort has been "show-business" and the promotion of "Dharma" necessary for the furtherance of its vast business operations.

Judeo-Christian Religion

The Jews have occupied a special protected place in the West. In addition to being the international trader transporting goods from East to West, they were also required to do those things that Western Christians could not do - such as lending money at usury and collecting taxes.

As strangers, they were friendless in Christian lands and became servants of the Catholic Church which numbered them and farmed them out to its hired kings. Constantly abused and railed at, the Jews were always carefully protected from real harm - they were too valuable.

The Crusades and the Reformation, times of death and destruction arising from religious differences, caused a reaction against the Jews that nearly caused them to be expelled from the West. In the years following, they were largely left out of governing and religious councils of the West. This was serious, because international traders must have agree-

ments with both kings and priests for their personal safety and the safety of their goods. This was especially true in America, since the people were religious and the WORD that they followed caused Christian America to continuously verge on the outright rejection of both the international trader and his trade. The Jew could never be sure if or when he and his goods would be banned entirely.¹¹

A New Religion

The solution decided upon was simple. It was to insert "Dharma" into existing evangelical Christianity without changing anything else.¹² Dharma neutralizes all religions - including Christianity. Almost overnight Christianity was made harmless to the alien and his trade. TV's Dharmatized Christi-

anity appeared in the households of almost every American family. Overnight it became America's religion. Billy Graham was chosen "pope" of evangelical Protestant Christianity and he made regular visits to the White House to bless all that was going on.

Today's State

The government of every modern-day Saxon state is hand picked by the ITC media. The media managers select candidates and publicize them, and ignore all others. People vote for candidates they know. The only ones they know are the ones the media tells them about. These ITC selected political agents then take office to protect the cartel and its agents from irate citizens.

All people follow their gods. The Saxons' God is the WORD. The WORD excludes strangers and their goods. The ITC media owners replaced watchmen of the WORD with their own hand selected televangelists. To keep their jobs, the televangelists act as a state religion and bless that which God curses. The loyalty of the rest of organized religion is assured by 501(c)3 tax exemptions granted by ITC office holders (kings) put in office by the media owners.

The Logical Sequence

Once one has accepted the fact that the media owners are the ones who actually hand pick both government and god for the Christian West, the next question arises - WHO owns the media that has done these things?

We were told that Robert Maxwell was the most powerful man in Europe, the owner of newspaper, radio and TV chains. What was not told us was the fact that he did not own these companies, he was only managing them. The media also reported the allegations that he was also skimming from the companies he managed and as a result was found floating in the Atlantic. When this happened, the banks descended on his estate to claim what was theirs. Thus, Robert Maxwell's untimely death revealed that the banks were the real owners of his media empire.

Tape of The Month

SFA660, SFA661, SFA662, SFA664, SFA665,
SFA666, SFA668 Last Days - in 7 parts,
Pete Peters.

Are we in "the last days"? Were the apostles
in "the last days" 2000 years ago? Will there
be further "last days" in the future?

Did Jerusalem have "last days" in 70AD?

Did ancient Babylon have "last days"?

Does an age have "last days"? Does (and
this is a clincher) "last days" mean

'end of the world'? What have you been
taught in this regard? The usual 'mark of the
beast', 'barcodes on your hand', and other
such suggestions? You need to hear these
latest Pete Peters tapes. Lay some error to
rest! Get Them Now! (see ad page)



Next question - who owns the banks? The media tells us that "it is the people who are the bank stockholders." However, we remember that in 1973 when a number of Swiss banks went into default it was revealed that they were owned by the Vatican. That could not be, because usury is forbidden to Christians! The Catholic Church has written volumes against usury.¹³ Yet, there it was. Since then there have been other Catholic Church bank defaults - big, expensive ones - banks that no one knew were owned by the Catholic Church. How many other banks owned by the Catholic Church have NOT defaulted? Perhaps ninety-nine percent haven't.

The newspapers reported that the Queen of Britain was caught in the closings of a major US midwest bank and more recently in the default of Baring Bank. This is more than a "human interest" story. The Queen of Britain is not only a Queen, she is head of the Anglican Church and God's representative on earth. Thus, the Anglican Church is also up to its ears in usury.¹⁴

How many other major factors are in the usury business? We know that usury banking originated in India. We know that many offshore banks in the Caribbean are staffed by Indians and that they are now showing up in force in financial institutions all over Wall Street. Why are they there? To keep tab on their banking interests? It might be safe to surmise that in addition to the Catholic Church and Anglican Church, the Brahman priesthood in India continues to occupy a substantial place in usury banking.

We are told that the Jews are the usurers - the Rothschilds and all the rest. I'm not convinced that the Jews are any more than "the ones who manage for others." We are regaled with stories of multi-billionaire Jews who made their start a few decades ago, penniless, and by the dent of hard work, saving their pennies, etc, have become billionaires. This is supposed to explain how they accumulated their great wealth. This is the story handed out about Rupert Murdoch, the current media mogul of Australia and the United States. Why is he different from Robert Maxwell? Which is easier - to save your pennies and become a billionaire - or to be given a few billion to manage?

Let's look at another case - Henry Ford. He wasn't a Jew - he was a Catholic. He was presented to the world as an Anti-Semitic right-winger, a car manufacturer who suddenly became enormously successful and made billions. This right wing anti-semitic left his money to a left-wing pro-semitic communist-leaning trust - the "Ford Fund For The Republic." Do you believe that a smart fellow like Henry Ford would do something stupid like that with his own money? Somebody else's money perhaps - but his own? Could Ford have been a right wing conservative all his life and then leave his considerable wealth in trust for left-wing causes? It would be more believable if Henry Ford was, like Robert Maxwell, a "money manager" who had no say over how the money he managed was to be used after his death. This begs another question - if Henry Ford was a money manager - a money manager for whom?

Conclusion

There are things that we do know. We know that Christians are leaving organized religion in droves. They sense a wolf in sheep's clothing. Logic reveals that both our government and our religion have been created for us by the media. Maxwell's untimely death revealed to us that these same media are owned by the banks. Catholic and Protestant bank failures have shown us that organized religion is up to its ears in bank ownership and the practice of "usury." The Scriptures teach us that usury is grouped along with murder.¹⁵ This means that these people are capable of anything -

ANYTHING! The Anglican Protestants saw nothing wrong with British death camps in S. Africa nor the slaughter of congregations meeting in fields and woods of Scotland. The Catholic Church saw nothing wrong in the 1641 slaughter of almost half the non-Catholic population of Northern Ireland. All together these things explain what the prophets knew from the beginning.

"We wrestle not against flesh and blood - but against principalities -¹⁶ against powers, against the rulers of darkness of this world -¹⁷ against spiritual wickedness in high places." Eph 6:12¹⁸

These same Scriptures confirm who started the "round robin" in the beginning and who keeps turning it today. It is called "Babylon." The Scriptures say that Babylon is run by the merchants of the international trade cartel for their insatiable love of money.¹⁹

The Scriptures say this about them: *"A merchant shall hardly keep himself from doing wrong." Ecclesiasticus 26:29.* The Scriptures also tell us the end of the story: *"Babylon ... the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her, and the merchants of the earth are waxed rich through the abundance of her delicacies." Rev 18:2-3; "The kings of the earth, who have committed fornication and lived deliciously with her (Keating?), shall bewail her, and lament for her, when they shall see the smoke of her burning." Rev 18:9; "And the merchants of the earth shall weep and mourn over her; for no man buyeth their merchandize anymore." Rev 18:11; "Alas, that great city wherein were made rich all that had ships in the sea by reason of her costliness." Rev 18:19; "Babylon ... for thy merchants were the great men of the earth." Rev 18:21,23.*

A Christmas Story

Yes, Virginia - there is a theocracy. Today it is the false theocracy of the priest and state - creations of the merchants' trade cartel. Tomorrow, things will be different, the theocracy will be the theocracy of God the WORD.

=====

footnotes:

- 1 "Episcopal" - rule from the top down vs "prebtery" - rule from the bottom up. Episcopal religions allege that God has appointed a supreme ruler from their group, and that this ruler in turn appoints governors.
- 2 Omitted are the Methodists, Mormons, Moonies and other Buddhist groups, etc.
- 3 "Joshua divided the whole land by lot to Israel for an inheritance, as the LORD had commanded him." Jasher 90:19; "The land shall be divided for an inheritance ... to many thou shalt give the more ... to few thou shalt give the less ... the land shall be divided by lot: according to ... their fathers they shall inherit ..." Num 26:53-55
- 4 "Of whom do the kings of the earth take custom or tribute? of their own children, or of strangers? Peter saith unto him, Of strangers." (Gr: allotrios = foreign, not akin) Matt 17:25-26
- 5 "We must obey God rather than men." Acts 5:29
- 6 "The hands of the witnesses shall be the first upon him to put him to death, and afterward the hands of all the people." Deut 17:7
- 7 "If thou wilt not ... do all the words of the law ... the LORD will make thy plagues ... great plagues, and of long continuance, and sore sicknesses ... all the diseases of Egypt ... they shall cleave unto thee. Also every sickness, and every plague ... until thou be destroyed. And ye will be left few in number (our numbers are drastically going down today) ... because thou wouldest not obey the voice of the LORD (Yahweh) thy God ... ye shall be plucked from off the land ... and thou shalt fear day and night, and shalt have none assurance of thy life." Deut 28:66
- 8 Kings are always the enemy of God's people; "Then all the elders of Israel ... came unto Samuel ... make us a king to judge us like all the nations ... And the LORD said ... 'This will be the manner of the king that shall reign over you: He will take your sons, and appoint them for himself, for his chariots, and to be his horsemen ... he will take your fields, and your vineyards, and your oliveyards, even the best of them ... and he will take the tenth of your seed, and of your vineyards, and give to his officers, and to his servants (notice how quickly politicians vote themselves a pay rise?) ... He shall take the tenth of your sheep: and ye shall be his servants. And ye shall cry out in that day because of your king which ye shall have chosen you (elected officer?); and the LORD will not hear you in that day." 1 Sam 8:11-18
- 9 The first Reformation is discussed in "In The Beginning..." by Richard Hoskins. We will advise you when this book is available.
- 10 Readers must always remember that "states" are creations of the International Trade Cartel (ITC). States are created to protect and expedite trade - not to represent the interests of the people. The people are property that goes



with the state. They are there to produce the goods and services needed. They are "wage-slaves." The same applies to organized religion. The ITC must control both the priest and the king or it can not exist.

11 "If thou hast stricken thy hand with a stranger (2114), thou art snared with the words of thy mouth." Prov 6:1; "They shall not dwell in thy land: and thou shalt drive them out before thee." Ex 23:31; "They shall not dwell in thy land, lest they make thee sin against me." 1 Kgs 4:21

12 "DHARMA:" Buddhist teaching to pacify divergent populations; to facilitate rule and expedite trade. Dharma - acceptance, non-violence, and tolerance of different peoples and their gods and obedience to one's rulers.

13 "Hath given forth upon usury, and hath taken increase ... he shall surely die." Ezek 8:13

14 "For if we sin willfully after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins." Heb 10:26

15 Ezek 18:8-13

16 Principalities are managerial districts created by the ITC to organize their trade.

17 The ITC together with their false priests and kings.

18 The Godless watchmen who know to do good and do it not.

19 "The love of money is the root of all evil." 1 TI 6:10

Courtesy Hoskins Report, Box 997, Lynchburg VA 24505 USA

Can Christian Citizens Ever Be Armed?

by Pastor Robert T Woodworth

Is there any Scriptural basis for the Second Amendment (right to keep and bear arms)? How can any Christian justify having guns? Should we not depend on the police to protect us, or ultimately, the Lord? If a Christian keeps a gun for self-defense, how could he ever justify hurting or killing another person if the time came when he would actually have to use it? These are reasonable questions and deserve serious contemplation by devout Christians.

First, let me explain my background. I have been a born-again, Bible-believing Christian since my teens. I have now been a pastor for forty years, broadcasting on many radio stations and appearing on many television interviews, writing and editing my own Gospel magazine, Christ & Country Courier.

As for guns, I grew up with them. We used them for destroying rodents and crows on the farm and for plunking or target practice. I belonged to the Rifle Club in Johnson High School, and enjoyed hunting when I could. Enlisting in the US Army I was trained to use weapons for killing our national enemies. I performed guard duty on board ship going overseas, and over German prisoners. I know something about guns, what they can do and how to handle them safely.

But what about having a gun at home to protect my wife and family? What would I do in case of a burglary? Could I ever shoot anybody? What about hold-ups on our city streets or in our stores? Should Christian merchants bear arms to protect their money, wares, employees and customers? Did any Bible heroes ever use weapons justifiably?

Start with the "Heroes of Faith" listed in Hebrews 11 as examples for all Christians, great men and women of faith in God like Abraham, Moses, Joshua, Gideon, Barak, Samson, Jephtha, David and Samuel. The New Testament tells us that these Old Testament heroes and heroines "subdued kingdoms" and "grew valiant in fight."

Begin with Abraham, this great patriarch of Christians and Arabs. What did he do when some heathen kings invaded his household ranch, kidnaping his nephew Lot and looting some of his property? Read all about it in Genesis 14. Whether or not Abram prayed is not recorded, but the Biblical record does tell us that this father of our faith armed 318 of his men to pursue the culprits into another territory. Abram caught the thieves, killed many of their troops and retrieved his stolen goods. On the way home, he met a priest who blessed him, and Abram gave him tithes of all he had. From this historic episode Christians mark the beginnings of a church, priests or preachers, tithing, blood sacrifice, and the use of bread and wine in a ceremony to remember its

meaning. It also established the validity of armed force by a righteous source to enforce moral values. God honored Abram by making a unilateral covenant with him to bless him and his progeny through the ages.

Consider Moses, the world's greatest lawgiver and author of the Pentateuch, the first five books of the Bible. When this man of God was forty, he witnessed an Egyptian beating a Hebrew slave. Moses killed the Egyptian and buried him in the sand. It seemed a proper punishment for a felonious act he personally witnessed. Later, when Moses tried to intervene in another fight between two Hebrews, one threatened to expose him, so Moses escaped into the wilderness where he remained a fugitive in exile for another forty years. Can Christians condone Moses' behaviour in taking up "arms" against a wicked assailant? The first Christian martyr Stephen did in Acts 7:22-25, where Stephen testified before his own execution: "*Seeing one of them suffer wrong, he [Moses] defended him and avenged him that was oppressed, and smote the Egyptian. For he supposed his brethren would have understood how that God by his hand would deliver them, but they understood not.*"

Besides the soldiers, kings and war heroes of the Bible, there were others who took up arms to defend themselves and other innocent people; like Joshua (a military general who subdued Jericho and defeated 31 kings), Samson (a judge and spiritual leader), Gideon (a judge who led a posse and brought 40 years peace to Israel), Barak (the timid military man who was prodded by a female judge, Deborah), Jephtha (a judge of illegitimate birth, the Robin Hood of Israel), and Jonathan (son of king Saul, close friend of King David who raided and slew the enemies of justice). David killed Goliath the giant, the enemy of Israel and blasphemer of God. Later David slew tens of thousands of the enemies of God and country (1 Sam 29:5). These are some of the Old Testament saints and heroes of faith in Israel and Christendom listed in Hebrews 11 in the New Testament.

Take the case of the Levite (a clergyman), reared and educated in the temple to be a priest. Samuel, the great role model for a succession of prophets to follow and the anointer of the first kings of Israel, also was confronted with the need to take up arms against a national enemy. When there was a reluctance to execute a pagan king who had caused many casualties in Israel, Samuel himself, took the sword and slew Agag (1 Sam 15).

Another Levite, Phinehas, the grandson of Aaron, saved Israel from a plague by killing an Israelite and a pagan (forbidden interracial marriage). As a result, the Lord gave him a "covenant of peace and a covenant of an everlasting priesthood (see Vigilantes of Christendom, #381 @ \$30); because he was zealous for his God, and made atonement for the children of Israel." (Num 25:7-11). His deed "was counted unto him for righteousness unto all generations for evermore." (Psalm 106:30-31). Even a woman named Jael was vindicated in taking the life of the evil war lord Sisera by nailing his head to the ground in her tent home (Judges 4:17-24).

Is killing ever sanctioned by the Lord? Certainly - for capital crimes, in warfare and self-defense. "*There is a time to kill and a time to heal*" according to wise Solomon (Eccl 3:3). Use of the sword, or guns, is defensible when protecting the weak and the poor against tyrants (Psalm 82:3-4). Not to intervene when you can and you witness a crime, is to be guilty with the perpetrator (You people who credit 'Satan' with doing so much evil - remember that! Because God IS able to intervene, and by not doing so takes responsibility Himself for all evil, Isa 45:7) (Psalm 50:18). It is one of the definitions of sin in the New Testament, to know to do good and not to do it (James 4:17). It is wisdom to destroy evil rather than allow one evil person to destroy many good people (Eccl 9:18; Ezek 9:1-11).

Remember that these are not personal vendettas over people we don't like or who don't like us; but rather, recognized enemies of God and goodness who affect all society in a fatal way. But doesn't the Bible tell us not to kill? Isn't it one of the Ten Commandments? No! The Commandment



"Thou shalt not kill" (Ex 20:13 is a prohibition against MURDER, which is what Jesus called it (Matt 19:18). There is a difference between premeditated murder, or murder in the commission of a crime, to killing in self-defense, or in the execution of a criminal. If a burglar is caught in the act and killed in apprehension, there should be no punishment for his slayer (Ex 22:2). Today we call it justifiable homicide.

But how could I personally ever risk taking another person's life? How could I live with my conscience as a Christian committed to loving and helping my enemies in such a situation? The answer lies in the final result, the consequences of my action or inaction. For example: If someone broke into my house to steal, rape, or kill, would I want the survivor to be my dear wife and children or some unknown assailant?

If I could do anything to prevent it, would I choose to stop a molester on probation, or allow him to abuse another innocent child because I had more sympathy for the criminal than for his innocent victims? If I were a man of values as well as valor, could I ignore the screams of a rape victim and choose not to get involved, or would I be willing to do bodily harm to her attacker?

Shakespeare put Hamlet in the same dilemma in his famous soliloquy:

"To be or not to be, that is the question: Whether 'tis nobler in the mind to suffer The slings and arrows of outrageous fortune, or to take arms against a sea of troubles, and by opposing end them?"

If I were held up and my life were in danger due to some drug-addicted, wild-eyed maniac with no moral character, would I sacrifice myself and all I am to my family and friends to meet this thug's temporary need for another 'fix'?

Every policeman has to face similar situations when called to put down a potential threat to human life. But if I am personally confronted with such a grave situation, and there is no policeman to provide immediate protection, and I will have to live or die with my decision or indecision, what do you think I should do?

There is no heroism in suicidal surrender, no equity in exchanging one's own good life for that of a totally insane person over some trivial property. My life is worth more than the wallet I carry or the leather jacket I wear, or the car I drive; but it is not worth more to the inane assailant who confronts me with this proposition, armed and ready to take my life for my valuables.

Didn't Jesus say that those who take the sword would die by the sword? Yes, and Jesus was referring to the just punishment for those who murder others. I wish my colleagues in the ministry would apply this principle to the proper punishment for murderers. The cross-reference for this passage is Revelation 13:10 where it says: "He that killeth with the sword must be killed with the sword. Here is the patience and faith of the saints." Rather than denying Christians the right to use deadly force, it is a statement justifying the execution of those who use deadly force to kill the innocent.

If I must live or die with the result of my decision, let me make a choice that both God and reasonable men will agree was justifiable.

Jesus said an armed man will make his home secure (Luk 11:21). Jesus said there will be times when it may be more appropriate to own a gun than a coat (Luke 22:36). Being subject to lawful rulers and legal officials is different from being terrorised and abused by law-breakers.

Should a Christian have a fire-extinguisher? I do, but pray I will never have to use it again. But I am sure glad we had a fire extinguisher when it was necessary. Should a Christian have a gun? I do, but I pray I will never have to use it - yet having it may make me able to protect life rather than allow someone to take my life or the lives of other

people I might have defended. I also have a smoke detector and a burglar alarm, but pray that they will never be necessary to save me from a fire or a firearm.

The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission keeps records of the numbers and types of injuries we suffer in the United States. Did you know that lawn mowers cause more injuries (68,000) than guns do (60,000)? In Baltimore, more people are victims of knives and clubs than are by guns. Would banning guns for everyone solve our problems? A Justice Department study showed that 84% of criminals simply do not get firearms through licensed dealers anyhow. Further studies by University professors and in the FBI Crime Reports show that the use of arms in repelling crimes results in less victimization and more intimidation of potential criminals.

Can we expect God's protection over us and not expect God to expect us to provide some protection for ourselves?

Courtesy Christ & Country Courier, Box 8504, Baltimore MD 21234 USA

New Book

Iceland Light To The Nations

#373 @ sugg don \$11.50ppd

Bursting with vital information, fully

documented, this book presents

powerful and compelling evidence

showing concise, but exciting

histories of our European,

Anglo-Saxon, Nordic, Caucasian

peoples. With over 100 photographs

and illustrations, this enlightening

publication proves beyond doubt that

God Almighty is true to His Word -

that the "lost" tribes were not

destroyed, but simply became known

under different names through the

years. SEE AD PAGE!

It might interest readers to know that in Australia during 1991-92, out of 312 homicide victims, only 63 or 20% were killed by firearm; 88 or 28% by a sharp instrument; 38 or 12% by a blunt instrument; 68 or 22% by assault; 20 or 6% by strangulation; 31 or 10% by other means; and 4 or 1% of unknown weapons. Obviously, banning all firearms will only reduce the homicides by 20%. So why is there no push to ban sharp instruments - or lawnmowers?

Man's Ideas vs. God's Word by John Lofton

The publication, obviously, is aimed at young people and is titled: "Yo!

I'm A Christian Now What?" Its cover shows a cartoon drawing of a boy, dressed in sloppy, loose-fitting clothes, doing a one-hand stand on a skateboard, with a Bible under his left arm. The subtitle reads: "A basic guide to living as a Christian once you've taken the leap of faith." And while this publication is from an organization called "Gospel Light Publications" (Ventura, California), much of what is in it is, alas, **not** the Gospel and, thus, sheds "light" on nothing.

For openers, faith is not something one leaps to. Not at all. If one has faith, it is because God has given it to him. Ephesians 2:8 and 9 tells us: "For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast." Unsaved, unregenerate persons, who are spiritually *dead* in their sins and trespasses, do *not* "leap." Such individuals, of their own accord, do nothing. But, it gets worse, much worse.

Under the heading "Here are four things God wants you to know," we are told: "God really loves you and wants to give you a full and satisfying life!" The first scripture cited to back this up is John 3:16. But, is this true? Is this assertion Biblical? Does God really love everyone reading this booklet, regardless of what they are doing? NO! He does *not*. As I have noted at another time, John 3:16 does *not* mean God loves everyone. The "world" alluded to in this verse means the original, sinless, "very good" world that God created *before* sin entered into this world. This is the world God loves, so much that He sent His only begotten Son to restore, to reconstruct it - which He is doing right now! And psalm 5:5 tells us: "The foolish shall not stand in thy sight: thou *hatest* all workers of iniquity" (emphasis, JL). So, clearly, God does not love everybody - specifically, as noted here, "workers of iniquity."

We are also told: "Jesus does not force Himself on us. We must each choose whether or not we will accept God's solution to the sin that separates us from Him. We must personally invite Jesus to come into our lives and take charge.



Jesus is waiting for you to respond!...

If you asked Jesus to take over, you've just begun a new life!" In other words, God's grace, supposedly, is resistible. But, is this true? It is **not**. This is pernicious, Arminian nonsense which - intentionally or not - exalts the power of man over the power of God and makes man sovereign, not God. Arminianism is an evil, heretical doctrine.

Jesus does not force Himself on us?! Tell that, for example, to Saul who, by God's grace alone, became St. Paul. Did Saul "choose" our Lord? Did Saul "personally invite" our Lord to take over his life? No way! Saul was a hit-man for the God-haters, one who was *"breathing out threatenings and slaughter against the disciples of the Lord"* (Acts 9:1). And, falling to the earth, Saul heard the Lord saying to him *"Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me?"* Indeed Saul was *"three days without sight, and neither did he eat nor drink"* (Acts 9:9). But, Saul was saved and became one of the greatest Christians in the history of the faith. If ever an individual had Jesus "forced" on him, it was Saul. Our Lord gets precisely what He wants - **every time!** His will be done, not ours, thank God!

This publication also tells us, in part, re: our separation from God by sin: "God gave people free will. That means that each one of us can choose to reject God's love and to disobey Him." But again, this is not Scriptural. This is not what God says. Indeed, the number of Scriptures that must be ignored to believe such a thing is staggering. For example, in John 15:16, our Lord, speaking to His disciples says: *"Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you, and ordained you, that ye should go and bring forth fruit, and that your fruit should remain: that whatsoever ye ask of the Father in my Name, He may give it to you."* And Romans 9:15 and 16, speaking of what God said to Moses, declares: *"I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy, and I will have compassion on whom I shall have compassion. So then it is not of him that willeth nor of him that runneth, but of God that showeth mercy"* (emphasis, JL). And there are many, many other Scriptures in this same vein.

In his excellent chapter titled "Free Choice And The Divine Will In Greek Christian Commentaries on Paul," in the book "Paul and the Legacies of Paul" (Southern Methodist University Press, 1990), Robert L. Wilken, William R. Kennan, Jr. Professor of the History of Christianity at the University of Virginia, documents in detail how St Paul in the Scriptures undermined the free-will-Greek-thinking which infected some early Christians.

For example, Theodoret of Cyrus is paraphrased as having taught (*Affect. 5.1-4*) that "the scriptures gush out streams of living waters, and by free choice (*authaireton*) those who are thirsty come to drink and those who have no desire turn away. The physician of souls does not coerce the will of those who do not wish to savor goodness. He has created human nature independent and free ... he does not compel us against our will to partake of better things."

Noting that "a large measure of the intellectual effort of antiquity was given to discovering a certain path of virtue, to achieving self-sufficiency by rational means in a world that seemed to work against one's best efforts," Wilken quotes Martha Nussbaum as saying, in her book "The Fragility of Human Goodness: Luck and Ethics In Greek Tragedy and Philosophy" (Cambridge, 1986), that: "This splendid and equivocal hope is a central pre-occupation of ancient Greek thought about the human good. A raw sense of the passivity of human beings and their humanity in the world of nature, and a response of both horror and anger at that passivity, lived side-by-side with and nourished the belief that reason's activity could make safe, and thereby save, our human lives - indeed, must save them, if they were to be humanly worth living."

Alexander of Phrodisias is paraphrased to have believed (*De fato 16*, trans. R.W. Sharples, *Alexander of Aphrodisias on Fate: Text, Translation, and Commentary*, London, 1983, 66, text on 95) that "The life of a virtuous person is evidence for free choice. Virtue lies within our own power."

Wilken says the first mention of "free choice" in Christian writings occurs in Justin Martyr's "First Apology" where he defends free will with some Old Testament verses and a line from Plato's "Republic" (!). Says Wilken: "Justin's argument is, of course, commonplace. What is not traditional is the claim that he learned the doctrine of free choice from the scriptures. Although the arguments are well worn, the claim that free choice is a Christian teaching appears here explicitly for the first time. Justin ... cites only those texts from the scripture that support free choice, ignoring those that intimate the reverse."

Then we come - thank God! - to St. Paul who, repeatedly, drives nail after nail into the coffin of the absurd notion that man has a "free will" apart from God. Wilken notes St. Paul's preaching about Jacob and Esau, how God says He loved the former but hated the latter. He quotes St. Paul's use of Exodus 33:19 where God says: *"I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy, and I will have compassion on whom I will have compassion."* He quotes St. Paul re: how things depend not on man's will, but on God's mercy (Romans 9:15-16). St Paul mentions the story of Pharaoh, about whom it is said that God used him to *"show forth his power."* Romans 9:17-18 tells how God has mercy on whom-ever he wills, and hardens the heart of whoever he wills. And St. Paul introduces the metaphor of the potter who makes from the same lump a clay vessel for beauty and another for menial use, asking: What right has the clay to speak back to the potter? (Romans 9:19-21).

Says Wilken of St. Paul's language: "[It] must have startled Christians and pagans alike ... Paul's language shatters conventional assumptions, and its examples offend common sensibilities." Noting that Christian expositors were reluctant to relinquish the acquired wisdom of Greek antiquity, and that what St. Paul preached was "offensive to Greek thinking," Wilken says that "the cleavage between the scriptures and classical thought is deep and unbridgeable ... In the scriptures, however, nature is always subject to God's will ... it hardly needs saying that the idea of divine will is foreign to classical thinking." Wilken says that St. Paul's letters "thrust on Christian thinkers a new and discordant vocabulary with which to speak about God... as a way to break out... was first and foremost a book about God's purpose in the history of the Jews (Israel) and in the life of the Christian community."

In conclusion, Wilken says: "One cannot but be impressed at the power of [St. Paul's] scriptural language, in the context of the biblical narrative, to alter well-established ways of looking at the world ... The problem of freedom can no longer be formulated in terms of necessary or accidental events but now has to be viewed in the light of the purposeful actions of a gracious God. Paul's language, his metaphors and images, rearranges the conceptual world the commentators were accustomed to inhabit. There was something new in Paul, and in the Bible; and the task of exegesis was to find out how the language of the Bible worked, to draw out its implications, to try out a new vocabulary in relation to received wisdom ...

"The language of the scriptures was not the language of Homer or Plato or Chrysippus; and one way to see how much difference it made for Christianity that the Bible was the church's book is to read the early Christian commentaries ... to look closely and patiently at the way the early Christian commentators read the Bible, the way Biblical language enters into their vocabulary, the way new words and images shape and mold their patterns of thought."

Supplementary Study

#7725 (2) By Whose Decision Are We Saved? Can we save ourselves through personal decision? Does going down to some altar and 'making a decision for Christ' save you as some churches teach? Or does God save us by His decision? also on the same tape:

(1) Can God Save Individuals From The Enemy?



Well, amen! And this is precisely what Christians must do, again, today. We must think and act Biblically! Indeed, we must bring "into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ" which will result in "casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God" (2 Cor 10:5) - one of the most idiotic and sinful of these imaginings being this wretched "free will" heresy. ■

* * *

The Nature of Freedom by R.J. Rushdoony

Freedom has been variously defined in history. All too often, it has meant sexual license, and it has been accompanied by political tyranny. One writer in 1982 held that a belief in Acts 4:12 is racism and totalitarianism. He also held that Christian Schools are likewise racist and totalitarian! Obviously, he had his own realm of private meanings.

It will be wise, therefore, to follow the dictionary and define liberty in terms of common usage. The terms "liberty" and "freedom" are used interchangeably, and we will examine both words. *Freedom* is defined as the quality or state of free action, and, a political right. *Liberty* is the quality or state of being free from arbitrary or despotic control, the power of choice, and an immunity.

Note that these definitions imply limits. By clear implication, there are civil, and, one can add, religious restrictions on freedom. No one has the right to kill or steal at will. Freedom is under law; at the same time, there is a moral element. A drug addict is not a free man; he is a slave to drugs and in unable to alter a suicidal course until some outside control intervenes.

The world of antiquity outside of Israel was unfree. The myth of Greek and Roman freedom is one that obscures a totalitarian civil order, human sacrifices, a radical restriction of choice, and a total control over the citizenry. Every area of life and thought was under the state, and the life of the state took precedence over the life of its people.

The only exception to this in antiquity was Israel. There was no totalitarian state; in fact, only a minimal civil order existed. Its law was God's Law, and all men had a duty to enforce it (not like we are told today, that we "can't take the law into your own hands"! CIM). Instead of power being concentrated in the state or in the "church," it was severely restricted. The civil order had only a small tax permitted to it, the same for all males aged twenty and older (Exod 30:11-16). It was called atonement or covering money, for protection. By being limited in its income, the state was limited in its power. The "temple," or the priests and their work, was similarly limited because the tithe was paid to the Levites, who then gave a tithe of the tithe to the priests (Numbers 18:25-26). Again, this was a limitation on priestly power. Add to this the fact that no land tax existed, because "The earth is the LORD's and the fullness thereof; the world and they that dwell therein" (Ps 24:1), and you begin to understand the freedom under God of Hebrew society.

This pattern has been adopted at times in Christian history, as in the colonial era, and only since World War I have we seen the destruction of its remaining traces in the US.

The early church, by insisting on freedom from state controls, promoted this same doctrine of freedom. Pope Gelasius I (492-496 AD) formulated it clearly in a declaration to the emperor. Much later, Calvinists expanded the doctrine by their insistence on sphere sovereignty, or sphere laws. This faith declares that the various spheres of life are directly under God, and no sphere can claim dominion over all the others.

In our time, those who seek to deny freedom to the church, or to Christian Schools, home schools, economic activities, farming, and more are really totalitarians. It is one thing to deal with criminal activities, another for the state to regulate and control all of life; the first is justice; the second dictatorship. (Today we have no justice, only dictatorship!! CIM)

Freedom is largely gone in our time, and license prevails, an ancient companion of tyrannies. The state has become a god walking on earth, and its slaves see their status as a higher freedom.

The totalitarian believes that the state should regulate and control every area of life and thought, and all institutions and peoples. The totalitarian believes in man-made laws, and in the prior right of the state in all things.

Private property has become state property, which we can retain by payment of a tax. The story of the subversion of property, and the state's entrance into this sphere, was ably told by Prof. Jonathan R.T. Hughes in *Social Control in the Colonial Economy* (1976) and *The Government Habitat* (1977). Property is not truly ours if we must pay a property tax, an inheritance tax, or any form of tax to retain it (a slave has no income - but if you pay your 'income' tax, we will kindly let you keep some for yourself! CIM). Property is then the possession of the taxing agent, the state (the council who sells your property for unpaid rates[taxes]CIM), which can dispossess us for failure to pay our rental fees, taxes. The premise of the tithe is that "the earth is the LORD's," and the tithe is His tax. Failure to tithe can lead to dispossession by the great Landlord in His ordained time!

When men deny the LORD, they then have only the immediate realm and power, and the state then becomes their lord and master. There is then no law over the state, nor a court over all human courts. Men and states need no encouragement to tyranny; because they represent the fall, man's original sin in action, his will to be his own god and his own source of law (Gen 3:5); we must say that the natural law of men and nations is sin and tyranny. Men seek freedom from God in order to play god over one another.

The Biblical position is simply this: Freedom is a moral and a theological fact. "If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed" (John 8:36). Immoral men and ungodly societies cannot be free because they have denied the very premise of freedom. Freedom is more than an arrangement of laws; it is a moral aspect of the life of man.

Recently, a few thousand San Franciscans, including the mayor and chief of police, met at a major church to discuss the crime problem. Two children had been murdered recently; some 5,000 acts of violence, said one speaker, had occurred on city buses. Solutions included more police, more education, more counselors, and so on, everything except the necessary moral training (and the enforcement of Leviticus 20:13! CIM). Products of Christian schools are law-abiding; too many of the state school students are lawless at an early age, sometimes viciously so. Can we have moral and disciplined children without a religious foundation? George Washington, in his "Farewell Address," warned against expecting a moral society on ungodly foundations.

The Biblical doctrine of freedom cannot be understood apart from Leviticus 25:10: "And ye shall hallow the fiftieth year, and proclaim liberty throughout all the land unto all the inhabitants thereof; it shall be a jubilee unto you; and ye shall return every man unto his possession, and ye shall return every man unto his family." There are several important facets to this proclamation. *First*, it is God ordained. It is the royal edict of the Great King. The American Hymn, "My country 'tis of thee," in its last stanza, echoes the jubilee declaration:

"Our fathers' God to Thee,
Author of liberty,
To Thee we sing;
Long may our land be bright
With freedom's holy light;
Protect us by Thy might,
Great God, our King. Amen."

God is the "author of liberty," and true freedom is a "holy light" given to us by our "Great God, our King." God, as King over Israel was the author of Israel's liberty and of all liberty.

Second, the jubilee proclamation freed men from their bondage, from debt, and from all oppression. The Hebrew



word for liberty or freedom implies release from slavery. God is our great next-of-kin, our Redeemer. This is a glorious and liberating fact. Remember, the jubilee proclamation is on America's Liberty Bell.

Third, the jubilee command is, "*and ye shall return every man unto his possession*," i.e. to his land, his farm, or his ranch. Freedom in the Bible is not only theological but it is also land based, property oriented. This is why there was no land tax on property; the absence of such a tax marked the colonial era, and, in many states was absent until the latter half of the 19th century.

Fourth, the jubilee stressed the family; "*and ye shall return every man unto his family*." The Biblical doctrine of freedom is not anarchistic; it does not mean separation from responsibilities but a liberty in the context of a mature assumption of duties whereby a man establishes God's order in his life and in the world around him.

Because we have failed to recognize the moral limits of freedom, we are losing our liberties. Because our courts fail to recognize these moral limits, we are seeing the judiciary destroy freedom (see the enclosed tract by Bob Hallstrom - Judicial Theft). Freedom is a moral and a theological fact. Where the state plays god, freedom disappears and tyranny triumphs. Our freedom is disappearing. It will not return without a renewal of a Biblical faith. Courtesy Chalcodon Report, Box 156, Vallejo CA 94591 USA

We Live In An Age of Obsession by Monty Nelson

For almost two hundred years the Middle Ages, from 1096 till 1291, most of the nations of Europe were engaged, of and on, in Crusades against Islam. The motivations for the Crusades is too complex to summarize in a few lines, but undoubtedly a major factor was religious fervor, a belief that capture from the Saracens of the holy places of Christendom was a way of buying entry to Heaven.

The results of the Crusades, certainly long term, are also complex but they can be seen today as almost wholly negative. Europe's population was reduced by about a third, bitterness between Christianity and Islam was created which has endured to the present day, and the frontiers of Christendom were pushed back to the gates of Vienna in 1683.

All in all, a pointless and vastly expensive exercise, which caused untold human suffering. Our ancestors did some pretty dumb things, didn't they? But before we rush, from our superior position of modern reason, to condemn their bigotry, inhumanity and lack of foresight, might it not be a good idea to analyze the way we tend to look at things ourselves? To see whether we too suffer from a different but equally dangerous obsession?

I suggest that we do indeed suffer from such an obsession, one which is just as irrational as that of the Crusades, one which is capable of doing - has in fact already done - far more than a few thousand knights in armour could ever accomplish. That obsession is the belief in the equality of all humankind.

A few minutes of sober and unbiased consideration of the subject, accompanied by a comparison of any people on earth, ought to be enough to convince any sane person that the idea is nonsense. It may make sense to treat all people as equal before the law, which is no more than excluding bias, but it does not make sense to adopt the same attitude to every other aspect of human life.

Yet this false doctrine is so engrained in most people's thinking today that to dare question it is blasphemy. So convinced are we that this concept comes from Holy Writ, which it does not, that we feel perfectly entitled to force the idea on other people who, were they to think things out for themselves, might come to a more rational conclusion.

Probably the source of this virtually universal delusion is the American Declaration of Independence, with its famous words: "*....We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal....*" That's what they said. It is not what they meant. What they meant was that all White, Christian, English speaking males are created equal. Less well-known words later in the same Declaration refer to "*merciless Indian Savages*." Obviously they weren't exactly equal!!

In the late 18th century, it would never have occurred to anyone that a Christian could be the equal of a pagan, a White man the equal of another skin colour or a man the equal of a woman. And anyone who didn't speak English was suspect for starters. To these early Americans, these things were so obvious it wasn't necessary to mention them, so they didn't. And in practice, they had some very distinct reservations about Catholics and Jews, too.

If it is true, as I think it is, that this rather ambiguous beginning is the foundation for our almost unquestioned present day dogma, don't you think it might be worth while to apply some fresh thought to the subject? Because the basic delusion has spawned two others, even more devastating in the damage they do. One is the theory that democracy is the only acceptable form of government, therefore we are entitled to force it on everyone on earth, regardless of its suitability in the circumstances.

The other, equally absurd, theory is that, since all people are equal, ethnic differences must be ignored. In South Africa, we have a so-called government based

not on one but both of those idiocies. No wonder we are in a mess! ■

SA War Veterans from the second World War, the Korean War and other wars, were notified recently that they are no longer entitled to War Veteran Pensions, and they will not be paid. ■

Courtesy Patriot, Private X847, Pretoria 0001, RSA.

Health Break with Ken Anderson Crib Death or Vaccine Death?

Crib(Cot) death or Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) kills over 10,500 (US) babies a year and is the second largest cause of infant deaths in America (congenital malformations are first). We have been told that the cause is unknown. Is this the truth? In Australia during 1985, Veira Scheibner, Ph.D., a retired Principal Research Scientist with over 90 published scientific papers in referred journals to her credit, met Leif Karlsson, a biomedical electronics engineer specializing in patient monitoring systems. Together they developed the Cot-watch, a breathing monitor used for babies thought to be at risk of crib death or SIDS. The findings that turned up surprised them:

"Without endeavouring to do so ... [we found that] the babies breathing was affected in certain characteristic manner and over a long period of time (40-65 days) following DPT injections.... We also learned from the parents of crib death infants that most commonly the child had died after a DPT injection," said Dr. Scheibner.

As she continued her research, the link between crib death and vaccination became painfully obvious, and undeniable. "There was a significant and clear clustering of these (crib) deaths around the time of vaccinations." Yet when approaching pediatricians with her findings she was shocked at their reaction. "We realized that we had touched a very serious and contentious issue... The resistance we encountered in pursuing this research... became the best and most effective goal for us to continue. So I wish to thank those who would not speak out against the silent killer of babies,"



said Dr. Scheibner.

According to the medical historian Harris Coulter, Ph.D., "There is no way that a pathologist can tell the difference between crib death and death from vaccination. I called attention to this fact at a vaccination committee meeting in Washington, DC, where they had a panel of people from about 10 countries, and I asked the difference between sudden infant death syndrome and death from vaccination?"

"The Americans simply could not answer the question. They waffled and couldn't state any guidelines. But the European representatives were more honest and said, 'Indeed, there is no way in the world that we can tell the difference between them, and it is a very big problem for us.' It appears the M.D.s invented the term sudden infant death syndrome to explain away the 'coincidence' that babies die about the same time they receive vaccines."

Dr. Coulter estimates that about two thirds of the 10,000 cases of crib death are vaccine related, but he may be conservative. **When childhood vaccination rates in Australia dropped by 50%, when they became non-mandatory (as it is becoming in many European countries), SIDS cases dropped by 50%! And, when Japan moved the vaccination age to 2 years old in 1975, crib death and infantile convulsions virtually disappeared. Japan then recorded the lowest incidence of infant mortality in the world. (American babies receive their first shot at two months).**

Dr Coulter, in his book *Vaccination, Social Violence and Criminality*, studied the long-term effects of vaccination and has found them to be related to a single neurological disturbance caused by vaccination. He wrote, "Autism and SIDS appear to be generated in the same way - by encephalitis most commonly caused by vaccination ... The child who would have grown up autistic dies instead of 'sudden infant death syndrome.'"

Dr. Coulter discussed the mechanism of crib death: "Vaccination affects, in particular, the top of the spine and the back of the skull through which the cranial nerves pass and has the effect of weakening the cranial nerves. Sudden infant death syndrome is probably caused by an effect of vaccination of the vagus nerve, which provides the neural impulse to the lungs which causes us to breathe. If the nerve is palsied by the vaccination, the impulse doesn't pass through and the baby simply stops breathing. Parents who have witnessed this in their child have told me, 'The child was lying there and all of a sudden I heard no breathing...' In these cases, the parents have rushed up, given the baby a shake and the baby starts breathing again. If the parent had not been there, the baby wouldn't have started breathing again."

According to Coulter, "When the cranial nerves are affected, the result weakness of the eyes, ears, voice, and respiratory system (i.e. asthma), the latter condition being responsible for the cases of sudden infant death following upon vaccination."

This observation is not limited to the above authors. For decades independent researchers in different countries have commented on the vaccination-crib death link. Further, the National Vaccine Compensation Office in Washington has already awarded approximately \$500 million to families for vaccine damaged children, about half to parents of children killed by the shots that were originally labeled "sudden infant death syndrome of unknown origin" on the death certificate (doctors loathe to write "vaccine death"). There's about 4,000 more cases in the pipeline with total compensation in the several billions of dollars.

How do these findings relate to the work of Abraham Towbin, M.D., and others that show a relationship between cervical spinal damage and crib death? Towbin dealt with gross trauma, while it appears that subtler chemical vaccine trauma creates meningeal irritation that subluxates the


atlanto-occipital spine, affecting the vagus nerve.

Dr. Scheibner, incidentally did not stop with crib death. In a daunting display of determination she studied over 35,000 pages of medical papers dealing with vaccination and discovered that, in all that material there was no evidence of effectiveness and safety of vaccines. Her years of study culminated in her book: ***Vaccination, The Medical Assault on the Immune System*****, which led her to conclude: "immunizations, including those practiced on babies, not only did not prevent any infectious disease, they caused more suffering, more deaths than any other human activity in the entire history of medical intervention. It will be decades before the mopping-up after the disasters caused by childhood vaccination will be completed. All vaccination should cease forthwith and all victims of the side-effects should be appropriately compensated." ■

Ken Anderson, 1496 Pritchard Rd, Evans
WA 99126 USA

**Dr. Scheibner's book is available from Dr Veira Scheibner, 178 Govetts Leap Rd, BLACKHEATH NSW 2785, ph(047) 87 8203, fax (047) 87 8988 - R.R.P. \$30. Please do NOT order from CIM!

also recommended: "Warning, Vaccinations are dangerous," Pete Peters, #024 s.d \$2.70; and "Vaccinations, A Mark of The Beast," Dave Barley, #131 s.d. \$3.25; "The Poisoned Needle," McBean, #453 s.d. \$13.90. these from CIM



FIFTY YEARS OF OPPRESSION

by Roger Roots, *Jubilee Correspondent*

When Germany was defeated in 1945, conditions were set for an extended totalitarian state maintained by the continual suppression of political dissidents. Military occupation by the unchallenged Allied conquerors, combined with the continuous agitation of the world's powerful Jewish community, have made Germany the single greatest violator of human rights in Europe.

Some facts that are not widely known about Germany:

- Any "anti-Semitic" statements or expressions critical of Jewish power, political influence or control of media is punishable by up to five years in prison.
- Any denial of the "Holocaust" is now punishable by up to five years in prison, (That is to say, any denial of the official hollywood version of the Holocaust - and only that which was perpetrated upon the work camp internees of the Germans, not the Germans themselves).
- Modern Germans can be jailed for showing any reverence for the soldiers who fought or died for the Nazi government during WWII. To this day, no sizeable monument exists anywhere in Germany honoring the millions of Germans who gave their lives in the defense of their country.
- The post-war East German Stasi (East Germany's notorious secret police force) deployed twice as many agents as the Nazi Gestapo did in a population five times as great. Thus the proportion od Stasi agents to Gestapo agents was no less than 10 to 1.
- Unlike the despotic Communist regime of East Germany, the Nazi government was elected to power by its own people, and was only defeated by a world in arms. The East German regime was crushed by its own people.
- The Nazi government was a profound proponent of high literacy and involvement in political issues among the German population. Hitler himself zealously pushed programs to increase library use and regarded public libraries as an important institution. A public library was provided for every village of 500 or more and even soldiers in the field were provided easy access to library service. the current Germans, on the contrary, are greatly ignorant of contemporary issues and the actions of their government.
- Although the Nazi government is often criticised for its censorship of Communist books and reading materials, far more books are banned today by the German government



than were ever banned under the Nazis. At any time during Nazi Germany, a German could purchase most major international publications at any metropolitan newsstand, including *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *The London Daily News*, and other papers. Germany's highly literate, multilingual population could freely read virtually any such materials. Foreign journalists who were extremely antagonistic to Hitler and Nazism, such as William Shirer, were allowed to live freely in Germany while writing hostile news stories about the Nazis even after the war began.

- The modern German government actually forbids a person from drawing a swastika in public, publishing material with a swastika, or giving a salute with a raised hand. Any expression of favorable attitudes toward the vanquished German government of 1933-1945 can bring on heavy fines and jail terms.

- Even Hitler's best-selling book *Mein Kampf* is now banned from publication or sale, along with any other writings which are declared "neo-Nazi" in philosophy by the German government.

- The modern German government may actually ban musical recordings based on their political message, and has done so on dozens of occasions.

- Groups which "act against the constitutional order or the concept of international understanding" can be banned outright by the German government. By some accounts, over 100 political parties have been banned by the post-war regime - far more than were ever banned under Hitler.

- - This is democracy at its finest. Communism didn't die it merely changed its name. The wall came down but went up in the minds of German people. I guess free speech isn't a part of the new Democracy. -ME

Courtesy The Jubilee, PO Box 310, Midpines CA 95348 USA

I Nearly Destroyed Our Marriage

How lonely and neglected I felt! I was a young mother with several small children and still a new Christian. My husband, who was antagonistic to Christianity, spent most of his weekends on the golf course. During the week he would arrive home from work, eat his dinner with scarcely a word to his family, then disappear into his workshop where he would work, sometimes until midnight.

It seemed to me, at the time, that we were completely incompatible, so I turned to the warm fellowship I found in the church which I attended.

It was during this state of rebellion against my situation that I 'fell in love' with a Christian married man. He seemed to me to be everything my husband was not and I lived for prayer meetings and the weekends when I would be able to see him and talk with him. I thank God that this man never knew of my feelings for him, and there was nothing improper outwardly in our relationship.

My mind was full of 'good reasons' and excuses for myself - after all, didn't God want His children to be happy? My husband and I had not been Christians when we were married and I was sure God wouldn't expect me to stay united with an unbeliever. When my nights became full of dreaming about this man, my conscience started pricking, but I brushed conviction aside and continued for several weeks in a lonely and miserable state. I am grateful that deep down in my heart I knew that God had the answer to my problems if I was willing to listen to His voice with an obedient spirit. Eventually, in desperation and distress, I cried to Him my first honest prayer for weeks, "Please, Lord, show me the way out of this mess and show me the root of the problem."

His answer came almost immediately and it made me tremble with fear - a message that shook me to the roots of my being. Across my mind in letters of fire, and accompanied by a voice I can only describe like thunder, were burned the words... "Remember My Commandment: *Thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's wife(husband).*" For the first time I saw

clearly how objectionable and evil my desires were to the Lord. Now I was thoroughly convicted of my whole attitude towards my husband and this other man.

God's words left me in a state of shock, but I was still suffering and in pain because of the emotions which I was still unable to control. At night I was frightened to go to sleep because of aliterous dreams, and in the mornings I would awaken sick to the heart with myself. After several miserable days, I knew that for the first time I was completely willing to give up all thoughts of this man I thought I was 'in love' with. Then I cried my second honest prayer to God.

"Lord, Jesus, You know what is best for me and my family and I trust you to work out the difficulties in our family life. Please forgive my disobedience and cleanse my heart of all sinful desires. Please, Lord, take away the pain and show me what to do about the dreams." As bedtime approached there was still some trepidation about sleeping, but there was also a peace and a deep knowledge that God had heard my prayer and would answer in His own way.

As I was settling down to sleep I heard His voice again, this time tenderly bringing to my mind a verse in John 1:14 which says: "*And the Word was made flesh and dwelt among us.*" I prayed, light flooding in, "Lord Jesus, You are the Word, so I want you to hold my hand all night and I trust you to give me a peaceful night's sleep." I took my small copy of the New Testament, tucked it under my pillow and placed my hand between its pages. In a few minutes I had drifted off into the most restful and deep sleep I had experienced for a long time. When I awoke in the morning my heart was singing with joy and release from the burden I had been carrying for so long. The assurance of my restoration to fellowship with God was very sweet.

Now I could see a completely new view of my husband and our family to which I had been blind before. God showed me my husband as a loyal and hardworking man who was being sadly neglected by his wife. Now I could genuinely thank Him for all the blessings of a fine husband, bright healthy children and a comfortable home.

Gradually I began to learn something about how to love my husband and how to accept him as he was. I was not to try and change him, but to leave him completely to the Lord. I also came to learn about submission to my husband as head of our household - placed there by God Himself.

Now, twenty years later, I look back and thank God from the bottom of my heart for loving me enough to discipline me on a point that could have wrecked the lives of many people. It frightens me to think of what might have happened and the wonderful blessings I could so easily have missed.

I praise and thank Him for His love that is manifested in our happy, peaceful home, our loving grown up children, and a husband who has come to know the hand of God upon his life.

A Very Grateful Wife.

Courtesy Above Rubies, Box 500, Broadbeach QLD 4218.

Freedom Of Speech Attacked. The FBI has issued a "hate crime" resource book which recommends that state legislatures "model" new speech suppression laws after the recommendations of several Jewish, black and sodomite advocacy groups such as the ADL, the NAACP and the National Gay (sic) and Lesbian Task Force. Speech considered "offensive" by these groups is to be criminalized with possible penalties to include heavy fines, property seizures, job loss and/or imprisonment. Furthermore, the FBI now recognizes these groups as "experts" who can identify others as "racists" and have that designation entered into FBI records. Current federal law requires that anyone whose speech is considered "intimidating" or "harassing" by standards established by the above-mentioned groups must be reported within three months of the offense.

(Anonymous Information Newsletter, 10/95, Box 44534, Boise ID 83711)

Renouncing the Covenant. Top officials of the United Methodist Church are asking members to renounce centuries



of Christian teaching by acknowledging the validity of 'God's eternal covenant' with the Jewish people. "While church tradition has taught that Judaism has been superseded by Christianity as the 'new Israel,' we do not believe that earlier covenant relationships have been invalidated or that God has abandoned Jewish partners in covenant," the statement reads.

The proposal is said to be an effort to balance the idea of God's "continuing covenant with the Jewish people and the age-old Christian imperative to convert all people to Christianity."

Rabbi A. James Rudin, a Religion News Service columnist, claims that "The (Christian) Church today carries grave responsibility to counter the evil done by Christians to Jews in the Crusades, the inquisition, the pogroms of Eastern Europe and elsewhere, carried out in the name of Jesus Christ." (Fort Worth Star Telegram, Oct, 29, '95)

(Note: Jesus said that He was making a new covenant, and sealing it with His blood. A New Covenant invalidates the old. So it was understood by the apostles. The Methodist proposal would reject the new covenant, which is the only means of man's relationship to God. If Christians carry grave responsibility for what was done to Jews decades and centuries ago in foreign lands, what kind of responsibility do Jews carry for the murder of over 12 million Christians in the former Soviet Union, under the Jewish Bolsheviks?) Curtis Dickinson, ed. of The Witness, Lubbock TX.

(CIM: This is what happens when the churches have taught error for years, and then they discover that God doesn't lie, and that what were God's people - are in fact still God's people. The only difference being - they were never called Jews! Ancient Israel were God's people of the Old Covenant, and Modern (anglo-Saxon) Israel - the true physical descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, are still God's people of the New Covenant. When will the scales fall from peoples eyes to reveal "The man of sin"? - listen to tape #7702 and 7703. "No one cometh to the Father, but by Me!")

NOTE - NOTE - NOTE

Mrs. Tracey Anna, of PO Box 2444, Bundaberg Qld 4670, would like to hear real stories and experiences from parents, telling personal experiences with vaccines and their views on it. Please write to her direct if you had any noticeable effects. Thank you for your cooperation! Much appreciated.

Another year untried before me lies.
What will it bring; a glad surprise,
Or joy or grief, I cannot tell,
But God my Father knows that well.
Sickness be mine or rugged health,
Hard times and penury or wealth
I make it no concern of mine,
But leave it all with love Divine
If lonesome I must pass along,
Or living friends my way may throng,
Upon my Father's Word I rest,
And what He sends me will be best.
Nothing can come but He can cure.
His love does all my good ensure.
He'll see me through the journey's length,
Meet daily need with daily strength.
In Him I fortify my heart,
And thus do fear and dread depart.
My soul shall nothing know of fright,
Since God is all my life and light.
Suppose the sun is dark by day,
And stars by night confuse my way?
Suppose the earthly lamps grow dim?
He walks in Light who walks with Him.
Thou year untried - thou hast, I see,
Only what God has planned for me.
You cannot cause a single pain,
For what God sends is always gain.

Author Unknown

God's Law equals God's Character

God is Holy (Psalms 145:17);
His Law is Holy (Romans 7:12)
God is Love (1 John 4:8);
His Law is Love (Romans 13:10)
God is Perfect (Matt 5:48);
His Law is Perfect (Psalms 19:7)
God is Spiritual (John 4:24);
His Law is Spiritual (Romans 7:14)
God is Righteous (Psalm 145:17);
His Law is Righteous (Psalm 119:172)
God is Truth (Deut 32:4);
His Law is Truth (Psalm 119:142)
God is Good (Psalm 25:8);
His Law is Good (Romans 7:12)
God is Everlasting (Gen 21:33);
His Law is Everlasting (Psalm 111:7,8)
God is Just (Deut 32:4);
His Law is Just (Romans 7:12)
God is Light (1 John 1:5);
His Law is Light (Proverbs 8:23).

Anyone who attacks God's Holy, Spiritual and Righteous Law is attacking God's Person and Character, since God's Law is His Character. When we are renewed by God's Spirit, God's Character (Law) is written on our hearts (Jer 31:33, Hebrews 8:8-10). Courtesy Melchizedek Vigilance.

Hoskins Report: News from South Africa by K.J.

A communist state is emerging - like the one in Russia. The Saxon HNP told its followers to prepare for a similar situation that existed just after the Anglo-Boer War in 1902. Then, our people had to hoist themselves out of the utter devastation in which the country was left and build a civilization once more. Realization is at last beginning to dawn as to the extent of our complete surrender when you can have amnesty for Blacks and not for Whites.

The government's prime enemy is still the Afrikaners whose history and heritage they intend to wipe out completely. When they changed the names of dams, they left



WHAT'S THIS? THIS IS A CH-CH. WHAT'S MISSING?
-U - R. If you do not have a church or fellowship to attend, do you as responsible husbands and fathers, and as the heads of your households, do anything about having home church? Use our Loan Cassette Tapes, and build around the central message from God's Word with prayer and singing. Involve the children, and bring them up in the nurture and admonition of the Lord. As long as the next generation is not educated to be more responsible and obedient Christians (than the previous generation) following the Laws of God, we will continue further into captivity, slavery and bondage. Do your part in bringing about National Repentance by diligently teaching your sons and your son's sons all the things which the Lord commanded Deuteronomy 4:9-20.

"Bitter tears of lamentation
Are my food by night and day;
In my deep humiliation
Where is now thy God? they say.
Yea, my soul doth melt in me,
When I bring to memory,
How of yore I did assemble
With the joyful in Thy temple." Ps 42:2 harmonized



those in Natal having English names strictly alone. Against this background, the export of farmers and soldiers to other African countries becomes understandable. Mercenaries from here fought with the Angolan government, forcing the anti-communist Unita to negotiate. They are now in Sierra Leone fighting against rebels. Apart from salaries to the individuals doing the fighting, this does not benefit the rest of us.

Next on the list are the commandos (militias) that they want to disband. They are made up mostly of volunteers who keep in touch by radio in farming communities and in some areas prevent crime. The government know these people still form a force they have to reckon with and disarming them will be a priority.

Our state hospitals are in a very bad state. An elderly relative of a person at the same firm as our daughter went to a state hospital on the Rand, and as usual nowadays, took his own bed linen and towels along. No sooner did he go to the bathroom when the black staff stole everything. At meal-times, he was lucky if he got a potato. The old man did not survive the treatment. Intensive Care Units are cleaned out of medical supplies and instruments. We read that the same thing happened in parliament. Like locusts, they strip and take everything they can.

One lighter remark is the fact that Winnie Mandela, whom Nelson wants to divorce, cannot be caught in order to serve papers on her. She turned up in China, but could not slip in as she usually does. The doors were firmly closed by security men. This is not the treatment that she is used to.

In times like this, Dr. Fanus Serfontein transplants organs in spite of government prohibitions and at no cost to the patient. This has meant much as an example to the rest of us. Young people are moved by ideals more than money.

Strikes are taking place everywhere from hospitals to factories and shops. When it affects our poor postal service it upsets me very much. Hardly any letters came through this last week. The Chinese who came mostly went back; too much violence here.

The *Sunday Times* of Oct 29 reports that former Minister of Defense Gen Magnus Malan and ten high-ranking officers were served with warrants of arrest. If this is true it will cause a few waves and may lead to a chain of events. The news seems to be true and might be the beginning of a witch hunt against all the whites which they want to get rid of a la Nuremberg type trials. Constand Viljoen tried to gather a few votes when he accompanied AWB wives to Mandela where they pleaded with him to extend the amnesty date to May 10, 1994. How this black enjoys lording it over Afrikaners, and how Viljoen loves to have him do it.

The *Citizen* of Oct 31st reports that the AWB and the Conservative Party want to unite with Viljoen. Will they never learn! Viljoen is a bad actor and I doubt if he will change. Afrikaans, our language, finally disappeared from the TV news broadcast last night.

The "Indian influence" on the ANC and the SA Communist Party is becoming noticeable. Although they are only a small minority in the country, the civil service is full of them. More about this next time.

Please keep us in your prayers. With best wishes, K.J.

WHITE MAIDS: "Zulu King Goodwill Zwelithini greeted white maidens Saturday at the annual Reed Dance Ceremony in Nongoma, KwaZulu Natal, where virgins are paraded before the king to choose a wife. This year, white maidens danced for the king for the first time in the traditional Zulu ceremony." *Arkansas Democrat Gazette*, Sept 3, 1995, 6a.

DANGEROUS: "South Africa, together with Poland and Hungary, has advanced to the most dangerous tourist destination in the world. ... At least one in 20 visitors must expect to be assaulted, robbed, injured or murdered." *Insider*, PO Box 17200, Groenkloof, Pretoria 0027 RSA.

DISBAND COMMANDOS: "The abolition of the country-wide commando network, which is the first line of civil

defense and military security to many rural and urban communities, has been demanded by the ANC's parliamentary caucus. The commandos are part of the country's part-time forces, which number some 240,000 people. Apart from urban commando units in many parts of the country, commandos link almost the entire farming community in a security network through the Marnet radio system." *Citizen*, Nov 1, 1995.

MANDELA GOT HIS: "The African Bank had to close its doors. When Mandela heard about the bank's problems in his official capacity - a day before the closing - he withdrew his own savings. Nearly a million the story goes. ..." *Die Afrikaner*, Sept 22-28, 1995.

RACIAL CARD: "The 'Racial Card' is INTEGRATION; mandated mongrelization/adultery! The Seventh Commandment demands *Neither shalt thou commit adultery*." Deut 5:18. **Adulterate:** 1 "to corrupt, debase or make impure by admixture ..." 2 "To give a hybrid character to ..." *Webster's Dictionary*. *Kingdom Courier*, 5393 Carleton Rd, Mariposa CA 95338. Dr. H. Graber.

BALANCED BUDGET CHARADE

If there is \$10 in existence - and that is all there is, and, if it is lent to someone under condition that he repay \$11 - he can't do it. This is basic.

Ten dollars or ten trillion dollars - the principle is the same. To repay the 11th dollar - it must first be borrowed into existence. Once borrowed into existence, the debtor then owes \$11 and must repay \$12. It never stops. The debt grows larger and larger. If the debtor does not borrow the 11th dollar into existence to balance the budget - there is no interest to pay the 11th dollar. Then, there is a massive default - the country bankrupts. What happens is that the floating supply of money disappears as people try to make their mortgage payments with what is left of the dwindling floating supply of money. Soon, that is gone and there is no money anywhere, and, there is no new money being borrowed into existence.

This is not theory. This happened in 1840-1841, 1891-1892, and 1930-1931 - in the last part of the other Jubilee cycles. The same thing will doubtless occur once again as Washington's Dog & Pony Show tries to do the impossible once again. If they mandate a balanced budget in the next seven years - sometime in the next seven years there will be a momentous crash as money disappears.

The budget can be balanced only if there is no usury (interest)[Eze 18:13]. This will happen **ONLY** when Christians unite to form their own 'Free State.' It will happen. There will be two societies. One of "darkness" the other of "light."

MAKING A DIFFERENCE: "Spec. Michael G. New, US Army medic, is on trial for refusing to wear UN blue while in the US Army. He argued that it is illegal to place American Soldiers under UN authority. New is the only one who stood up for the US army uniform in a sea of people who meekly submitted to wearing UN uniforms. If convicted, New faces a bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of pay and allowances, and prison for 6 months. Defense lawyer Henry Hamilton demanded a copy of the classified Presidential Decision Directive 25, saying it is the basis of the Army's contention that it was legal to order New to wear a UN uniform. (a different sort of person to the Australian soldier from Cairns, who was written up in a local paper as being 'proud and honoured' to be allowed to wear UN blue! I wonder if he ever swore allegiance to the UN? CIM)

RKH: Spec. New is a hero. He is making the public aware of problems that they did not know they had. One problem is the Presidential Decision Directive 25 that is "classified." Call it what you will - that is "private law" - a private law that Americans didn't know they had. Private law is made by kings. "Waco Bill" thinks he is a king. If we are going to



have kings making their own private law - we should fire Congress, save the money, and let Bill do his thing.

The UN functions as the ITC army. The ITC used to hire nations and their armies to execute their will. In this way they were able to have the North destroy the self-sufficient farm system of the South in the War Between The States, the British Empire do the same to little South Africa in the Boer War, and 32 nations of the World crush Germany in WWII for her bid to become a "free-state." All this involved behind the scenes negotiations, promises, and trade treaties - contracts sometimes difficult to deal with after the event. With NAFTA to break down trade barriers, and the UN army to enforce its will - the ITC is now free to remodel the world into a true international merchants' paradise.

Spc. Michael G. New, by himself, has brought all this into question. One man can make a difference!

Black Nationalism

"As a black S. African and a former member of the ANC I regret very much that the ANC's hierarchy is surrounded by Jewish advisors who appear to be out to destroy the Afrikaners, and any hope of reconciliation between the Blacks and Afrikaners.

"Lots of us blacks have come to realize that the Afrikaners were used as front men by the Jews who have hijacked the wealth of this country. During the Apartheid era the Jewish intellectuals, industrialists and money lenders made money by exploiting the Black laborers and the Afrikaner bureaucrats. Indeed they were even instrumental in framing Apartheid laws and perpetuating the exploitation of the Blacks. It appears that they change their colours as often as necessary to control the wealth of the nation. I was sent by the ANC to the former Soviet Union to study communism. I have now realized that communism was nothing but a Jewish ideology to keep the people of the third world hopeful all the while the revolutionary movements were being exploited for their own ends.

"With many ANC decision makers married to Jewish women, maybe because they don't like their own black women (inferiority complex), or maybe they were manipulated by Jews. I see no hope of South Africa achieving peace and harmony between the racial groups. I hope our leaders come to their senses and realize who their true enemies are, otherwise South Africa faces ruination and the black man will suffer untold misery." Isaac Maimela, *Patriot*, Atteridgeville, RSA. Jul, 28, 1995.

Jewish Nationalism

Israel - Yigal Amir shot and killed the Israeli Prime Minister whom he blamed for agreeing to Arab demands to withdraw from occupied Arab lands - in essence - making peace with Arabs in spite of well-known Zionist objectives of an expanded homeland. In '92, Amir spent 5 months in Latvia, teaching Hebrew to Jewish school children. But newspapers cite security sources as saying that Amir's real job was to protect the Jewish community in Latvia. The Shin Bet trained Amir in marksmanship and protection practices before he was sent abroad.

This most recent assassination is another shot in the war between the Jewish religious-separatists and ITC-internationalists. To the Jewish nationalist, a Jew in danger anywhere in the world is the business of all Jews. To the ITC, anything that interrupts international trade is the enemy. The two are forever at odds. Earlier, Rabbi Kahani, the separatist, was shot by ITC assassins to stop his separatist activities. This time, the Israeli Prime Minister, the agent of the ITC, was shot to stop his integrationist activities. The struggle continues. (Incidentally, don't think for a moment that the Arab leader, Yassir Arafat, represents the Arabs, or that our own brave leader in the White House represents Saxons. The establishment kings and priests of the world are created by the ITC to protect their interests. RKH)

Mixed Marriage Damned

"Dear Richard: What is the single most damning indictment of racial intermarriage you have run across?" G.R. - North

Carolina.

RKH: "If there is any man in Israel who desires to give his daughter or his sister to any man who is of the seed of the Gentiles, he shall surely die .. for Israel is holy (separated) to the Lord, and every man that defiles must surely die.. And to this law there is no limit of days and no ceasing and no forgiveness ... because he has given of his seed to Moloch .. And thou, Moses, command the children of Israel ... that they shall not give any of their daughters to the Gentiles and that they shall not take any of the daughters of the Gentiles; for this is accursed before the Lord ... And it is disgraceful to Israel to those that give and to those that receive from the Gentiles any daughters, for it is unclean and accursed to Israel; ... for there will be plagues upon plagues, curse upon curse, and all punishment and plagues and curses will come ... and if they blind their eyes to those that commit uncleanness ... then shall the whole people together be punished." *Jubilees 30:6-13.*

Courtesy Hoskins Report, Box 997, Lynchburg VA 24505 USA

"People Pigs" on the market.

Pork from pigs that have been genetically engineered to contain human genes is now on the market in NSW. Bresa-tec, the company that developed these pigs, announced in July that the pork would be sold from mid-August. The aim of the genetic engineering was to create a super pig by injecting into the pig embryo extra copies of the gene responsible for the production of pig growth hormone. Human promoter genes were also inserted. The pigs have been released onto the market before the experimental phase of their development has been completed.

The company stated that the National Food Authority does not consider that these pigs pose any hazard to public health, but it appears that it is the role of the Genetic Manipulation Advisory Committee (GMAC) rather than the NFA to approve their release.

The pork is not labelled as being genetically engineered so consumers who wish to avoid eating it will have no choice but to avoid all pork products. (which is what God commanded in the first place! Obey God and live, disobey God and die [Deut 30:15] CIM)

Courtesy Eco-Consumer News, Box 12046, Elizabeth St, Brisbane.

FEDERAL ANTI-DISCRIMINATION BILL ROLLS ON



The Australian Democrats (Sen. Sid Spindler, Vic) tabled their Sexuality Discrimination Bill 1995 in the Senate during the last week of sitting in Dec. '95. If passed, the bill would treat discrimination in employment, education, superannuation, accommodation, the provision of goods and services and any other areas of Common-

wealth responsibility in the same way that existing federal laws already prohibit discrimination on the grounds of gender, race and disability. It is aimed at stopping people making choices based upon a person's sexual preference.

This bill is directly targeted to give advantages to homosexuals and bisexuals because they are the only people who feel discriminated against because of their sexual choices.

The Sexuality Discrimination Bill 1995 also contains protection against vilification (speaking or writing against) on the basis of the person's sexuality or transgender identity. It also aims to give same-sex relationships the same legal status as heterosexual de-facto relationships. Spindler uses the saving of federal Social Security monies as justification for the latter but we must ask just how much will be saved if only 1-2% of the community is homosexual. If you add to this the fact that members of the gay community have larger than average disposable incomes (suggesting few are on social security) and less than 25% have any sort of permanent relationship that could qualify anyway, the savings would be minimal.

Regarding exemptions, the only exemptions given are for



Anti-thought-control DICTIONARY

A Guide to Deceptive Words and Terms Used to Neutralize
Christians

Trinity

CHURCH MEANING: The Godhead. Three separate beings (Father, Son, & Holy Spirit) in one unified person: "God." The one true Christian God in a sublime triune mystery.

ACTUAL MEANING: The term "trinity" is not in the Bible. The word, and the concept, is entirely derived from pagan sources. Multi-faceted gods (dualisms, trinities, etc.) were, and are, commonplace in non-Christian religions. The Druids had a triune deity. The pagan Romans had a two-faced deity names Janus. The Asians' Yin and Yang depicts a dual god. From the Babylonian mysteries flowed variations of multi-faceted "godheads": the Egyptians, the Assyrians, the Hindus, the Buddhists, and other ancient religions had trinitarian god-heads - often symbolised by triangles, father-mother-son figures, and/or three-headed human figures. (see Hyslop's *The Two Babylons* - chapter II: Trinity In Unity) Modern churches carry on the pagan tradition with their "Holy Trinity" handed down to them from mother Rome. Churches practice Babylonianism while claiming to be "Christian."

The true Creator God of the Bible is a singular entity: "*Hear O Israel: The LORD (Yahweh) our God is one Lord.*" (Deut 6:4). The true Savior, Jesus, is the Son - not just an alternate name for the Father. The holy spirit is power/motivation - not a person (*Webster's 1828*: 'Person': an individual human being) Recommended reading: *The Two Babylons*, #907, \$22.00 ; *Is Jesus God?* #222, \$4.95; tape: *The Essence & Operations of The Holy Spirit*, #W076, \$4

ELDER

CHURCH MEANING: An appointed office of church government.

ACTUAL MEANING: Both the Hebrew word, and the Greek word, translated "elder" in the Bible mean simply "old" or "aged" (i.e. "elderly"). The church industry, in its usurpation of the ecclesia system, has changed the meanings of Bible words to fit their own needs. Ecclesias have families and communities, but churches have officers and members - a better scheme for centralization and control.

Biblical elders are the older respected men of a family, clan, or community. Their position is not by election or appointment, but rather by virtue of their age, wisdom and respect. Elders may be sought out and recognized (as in Titus 1:5, "...establish elders in each body politic as I ordered you.") but they cannot be created via church election or appointment.

DEACON

CHURCH MEANING: An appointed office of church government.

ACTUAL MEANING: From the Greek word DIACONOS, meaning "servant" or "minister." The New Testament word depicts not a church officer, but rather a person led to serve or minister within a clan or community. As with the word "elder," the church industry has usurped the ecclesia system by changing the meaning of words to fit their own needs. ■

The Anti-Thought-Control Dictionary is brought to you by:

The American Christian

PO Box 740, Grangeville, ID 83530

edited by Ben Williams

13 teachers in Christian schools and for the ordination of pastors. Schools and churches are not, in themselves, given any exemptions. Thus the school or church is not exempted when employing administrators, secretaries, gardeners, choir masters, etc. We must also remember that in NSW there is a proposal to remove existing exemptions for churches and Christian schools from anti-discrimination legislation. How long before these limited federal exemptions would be removed if this bill was passed?

In his second reading speech, Senator Spindler said "a civilised cohesive and humane society must live by the basic

tenet of justice for all." However, this is not a bill that gives justice for all; it gives special rights to a few. Senator Spindler criticised the campaign that had been waged against the bill during 1995 but suggested main stream church leaders had supported it. He did not say who!

We must continue to campaign AGAINST this bill or he (Spindler) might just succeed in getting it through!!! We believe the Labor Party will support this bill after the election but they have been told they will lose votes if they support it now.

Write to all your Senators and your House of Reps. member today! c/o Parliament House, Canberra ACT 2600, or their local office.

Courtesy Salt Shakers, 6 Tonmar Crt, Forest Hill 3131

(CIM comment: This situation has only come about because for years and years the 'mainstream churches' have been telling their members that "God's Law was abolished, it no longer applies to us today." If they had taught Leviticus 20:13 long and hard, along with God's other laws, the climate would have been impossible to even bring up such ideas. The national debt would not exist because usurers would be outlawed (Ezek 18:13), abortion would not exist (Num. 35:16), and farmers would not be thrown off their land because (Lev 25:10-23). It should also be remembered that Yahweh is our Judge and our Lawgiver (Isa 33:22) and He never authorised anyone else to "make laws". Those who do so usurp God's position and are worthy of the imprecations of Psalm 109! We are not to follow these 'false gods' as Peter instructed us in Acts 5:29. To whom you yield yourselves servants to obey, his servants ye are to whom ye obey; Yahweh or man? Think on these things! And while you're about it, you might order Peter Peters' book while it is still available #020 "Death Penalty for Homosexuals is Prescribed in The Bible" @ \$2.50ea posted. Also: #298 "Death Penalty for Race Mixers" by Dan Gentry @ \$3.50)

A word of explanation regarding 'subscription' policy. There is no subscription as such, and everyone who WANTS to receive our newsletter has only to ask for it. However, since everything costs money to produce and post, others need to cover the costs for those who are not able. Therefore, we request a MINIMUM donation per year of at least \$35 to cover the expenses involved in producing and mailing the newsletter. Please note that suggested donations for books and tapes, only cover those books and tapes, and do not cover the newsletter. If you are able to, we appreciate your regular contribution to this ministry. If you are unable, and would like to receive the newsletter, we do expect you to write at least twice a year to show that your interest is ongoing. Our LOAN TAPES are also available to all who wish to listen to them - the only stipulation being - YOU MUST RETURN TAPES AFTER 4 WEEKS!! For those who can contribute to making tapes available, we make our own post-boxes for them from scrap cardboard to save costs. Look after the boxes so they last as long as possible. Please return the box (with your gift enclosed) even if you are keeping the tapes. It tells us you want more tapes to listen to. If you keep the box, we do not know if you are just slack in returning them, or if you are keeping the tapes. Postage on boxes of 4 or 5 tapes is now \$2 each way. Postage on 8 or 10 tapes is now \$3 each way. If you are able, please enclose more than just the postage, when returning tape boxes. Regarding videos, those marked LOAN ONLY, may be borrowed only for a suggested donation of \$5 (of which \$3 is postage, balance goes towards buying more videos), and MUST be returned after a maximum of 4 weeks. Especially with new tapes and videos, some people have to wait a long time to see/hear them, because others are hanging on to them longer than the 4 weeks allowed. Your cooperation in returning tapes and videos promptly would be greatly appreciated. We know that there have been some bad tapes of late, and are looking at new equipment to help alleviate this problem. Please use cash or stamps, or money orders as preferred payment method.

May Yahweh bless you and keep you, and make His face shine on you and be gracious to you. May He lift up His countenance on you and give you His peace and protection,